

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
NASHVILLE DIVISION**

KARL TARTT, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WILSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

**No. 3:09-cv-01179
Judge Sharp**

ORDER

After receiving a brief extension of time to file a response to Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment (Docket No. 87), Plaintiffs request leave to file their response late and in excess of the Court's page limit. (Docket No. 110). *See* the Court's Practice and Procedure Manual ("Manual"), Sec. III.C.3. In light of Plaintiffs' request for leave to file excess pages, they will be allowed to file a 35-page response, but it must be properly spaced. *See* Rule 7.03, Local Rules of Court (requiring that "[a]ll material . . . be double spaced").¹

Accordingly, the Court hereby orders that:

(1) Plaintiffs will file a Summary Judgment Response of no more than 35 pages, properly spaced, within seven (7) days of this Order's entry;

(2) Defendant will then have seven (7) days in which to file an optional reply of no more than ten (10) pages.

It is so ORDERED.



KEVIN H. SHARP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

¹ It should not be too difficult of a task to sharpen Plaintiffs' briefing in order to comply with the Local Rules. Indeed, cutting extraneous footnotes could save approximately one page.